

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/577,777	12/15/2006	Shuichi Umezawa	290554US2PCT	9628		
OBLON SPIV	7590 06/10/200 AK, MCCLELLAND	EXAM	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET			MONDT, JO	MONDT, JOHANNES P		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3663			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			06/10/2000	EI ECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/577,777	UMEZAWA ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
JOHANNES MONDT	3663			

		JOHANNES MONDT	3663				
The Period for Re	MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTI WHICHEV - Extensions after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENEAD STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA fine may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR.1.3 MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period by within the set or estended périod for reply with by statute, civiled by the Office later than three months after the mailing in term adjustment. See 37 CFR.1.700 EX.	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status							
2a)∐ This 3)∐ Sinc	⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 April 2006. □ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition o	f Claims						
4a) C 5) ☐ Clain 6) ☑ Clain 7) ☐ Clain	m(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. If the above claim(s) is/are withdraw m(s) is/are allowed. m(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application P	apers						
10) The G	specification is objected to by the Examiner drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce cant may not request that any objection to the cacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctionath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to be a considerable in the examination is objected to be a considerable in the examination is objected to be a considerable in the examination is objected to be a considerable in the examination is objected to be a considerable in the examination is objected to be a considerable in the examination is objected to be a considerable in the examination is objected to be a considerable in the examination is objected to be a considerable in the examination is objected to by the Examination is objected to be a considerable in the examination is objected to be a considerable in the examination is objected to be a considerable in the examination in the examination is objected to be a considerable in the examination in the examination is objected in the examination in the examination in the examination is objected in the examination in the examination is objected in the examination in the examination is objecte	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C				
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)⊠ All 1.⊠ 2.□ 3.□	owledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau ne attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice of D	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

- - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1 Form 1449.

6) Other: ____.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,777 Page 2

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

1. The filing of the application on 12/15/2006 prompts this Office action.

Information Disclosure Statement

 The examiner has considered the items listed in the Information Disclosure Statement ('IDS') filed on 4/28/06. A signed copy of Form PTO-1449 is herewith enclosed as acknowledgment of consideration.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-11 are objected to because of the following informalities: the wording "which causes on deterioration" (line 58 of claim 1) should be replaced by "which causes a deterioration". Idem three lines from below in claim 10 and five lines from below in claim 11. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The "feed-and-condensate-water-flow-rate-setting means for setting a flow rate" 13 triggers 35 U.S.C. 112, 6th paragraph, and in view of the specification computer with input device 11 and output device 12 is applicant's means-plus-function. See "Best Mode for Carrying out the Invention", pages 11-12.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,777

Art Unit: 3663

However, again with reference to pages 11-12 of the specification, the same computer with input device (11) and output device (12) is:

- applicant's "heat-exchange-on-heater-calculating-means for calculating heat exchange quantities" (14),
- applicant's "HP-turbine-power-calculating means for acquiring a calculated power value" (15),
- applicant's HP-turbine-power-correcting-means (16) for making the HPturbine-power-calculating me3ans correct a dryness on an outlet of the HP turbine,
- applicant's "HP-turbine-internal-efficiency-calculating means (17) for calculating an internal efficiency of the high-pressure turbine",
- applicant's "steam-condition-on-LP-turbine-inlet-calculating means (18) for setting a condition of a steam on an inlet of a low-pressure turbine",
- applicant's "LP-turbine-power-calculating-means for acquiring a calculated power value of the low-pressure turbine" (21),
- applicant's "LP-turbine-power-correcting-means (22) for making the LP-turbine-power-calculating means correct the reference expansion line of the low-pressure turbine".
- applicant's "LP-turbine-internal-efficiency-calculating-means (23) for calculating an internal efficiency of the low pressure turbine", and
- applicant's "performance-deteriorating-element-specifying-means (25) for specifying an element causing deterioration of performance of the nuclear

Application/Control Number: 10/577,777

Art Unit: 3663

power plant", said computer with input and output device 11/12 functioning as all of the above means-plus-function.

This means that the same device is claimed multiple times. Yet the computer with input and output device is a single device. Hence no structure, but instead software appears referred to through the various means-plus-function. Since no structure can be referred to for the means-plus-function language, the claims defining the inventive system are indefinite. MPEP 2181, section II.

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: except for steps S1, S2, S3, S4, S6, S7, S8, S9, S12, S16, S27 and S32, all steps (32-12=20) are missing (see Figure 8 containing the only flow chart of the inventive method). The omitted steps are disclosed as essential, since none of them are optional. Also from an a priori point of view said steps are essential, e.g., flow rate calculation of the extracted steam appears essential for calculating power loss (steps S5, S17, S18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claim is drawn to a program allowing a computer to function, i.e., is drawn directly to a computer program.
- "Since a computer program is merely a set of instructions capable of being executed by a computer, the computer program itself is not a process and USPTO

personnel should treat a claim for a computer program, without the computer-readable medium needed to realize the computer program's functionality, as nonstatutory functional descriptive material". MPEP 2106.02.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOHANNES MONDT whose telephone number is (571)272-1919. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-17.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack W. Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.